## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-5003

September Term 2009

1:09-cv-02219-UNA

**Filed On:** April 26, 2010

Luis Thomas Jacob Leal, Sr.,

**Appellant** 

٧.

Securities and Exchange Commission and Natasha Dandridge,

**Appellees** 

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Griffith and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

## JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order issued November 23, 2009, be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing the appellant's complaint without prejudice for failure to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a), which requires "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## **Per Curiam**